Second Announcement

The Ulm Conference on Psychoanalytic Process Research Strategies II -- updating the first Ulm conference on this topic in 1985 - published by Dahl, Kaechele, Thomae in 1988 (Springer) and out of print since long - takes place from June 19 - 21 the weekend before the SPR meeting in GEILO/Norway.

Contributions planned:

- E. Baenninger- Huber & C. Widmer, Zurich: Interactive relationship patterns, reaction of therapist and therapeutic change
- M. Bassler, Mainz: GRID-process research A tool for long term process research?
- W. Bucci, : Multi-method, multi-perspective assessment of the treatment process in successful and unsuccessful psychoanalytic treatments
- J. Clarkin, White Plains: Developing a treatment program for borderline patients how to control dimensions of process
- H. Dahl, New York and M. Hoelzer, Ulm: FRAMES how to detect them and where we stand now
- R. Herold, Tuebingen and H. Deserno, Frankfurt: BIP in long term psychoanalyis? A German version of Gill & Hoffman=B4s PERT
- K. Howard, Evanston: An empirical basis for case assignment
- J.P. Jimenez, Santiago and H. Thomae, Ulm: Breaks and interruptions in the analytic process a CCRT approach contrasted to a clinical evaluation
- H. Kaechele, Ulm, M. Eberhardt, Ulm and M. Leuzinger-Bohleber, Kassel : Dream series analysis as tool for process research
- G. Klug and D. Huber, Munich: A new version of process rating coding system for long term treatments
- H. Koenig, Tuebingen and U. Stuhr, Hamburg: How the mind of the analyst works? A second look to AE Meyers "Luegungsrueckblick"
- H. Kordy, Stuttgart: Indivualized process analysis?
- R. Krause, C. Benecke and J. Merten, Saarbruecken: The intersubjective field: Behavioural basis of therapeutic relationships and their mental representation.
- M. Leuzinger-Bohleber, H. Kaechele and M. Eberhardt, Ulm: Models of memory and their impact for the dream series analysis
- L. Luborsky, Philadelpia, R. Dahlbender, G. Blaser, D. Pokorny, Ulm and C. Albani, Leipzig: How useful is the CCRT for the evualuation of long term treatments
- E. Mergenthaler, Ulm, S. Gril, Montevideo and K. Kalmykova, Moscow: The cycle model of therapeutic processes
- G. Rudolf, T. Grande and C. Oberpracht, Heidelberg: Meassuring structural change. Approaches and results of the Heidelberg-Berlin Study on long-term-psychoanalytic therapy
- H. Schneider, M. Faeh and R Barwinski, Zurich: "Process patterns" drawn from theories of complexity as a means for tracing long-term change in psychoanalysis
- G. Seidler, Heidelberg: Structural change in self reference during inpatient therapy
- G. Silberschatz, San Francisco: On the difference of short and long term therapies in the light of the Plan analysis method
- H. Staats, J. Biskup and F. Leichsenring, Goettingen: Changing problems, changing aims: the development of change in psychoanalytic psychotherapy evaluated by PATH, a long term treatment tool.
- U. Streeck, Rosdorf: The interactive constitution of resistance
- R. Volkart, Zurich: Nonverbal reactions after failed and non-failed tests (Weiss-Sampson) in psychotherapy
- A. von Wyl, B. Boothe, Zurich: AKOB a tool for narrative analysis in process research?
- S. Wilke, Heidelberg and M. Buchholz, Goettingen: Qualitative analysis of therapists' process notes